



FSG500 PHILOSOPHY OF SCIENCE DEBATE RUBRICS



Semester Jan 10 - Apr10

FSG500 Philosophy of Science DEBATE RUBRIC. Developed by Dr JJ, FSG, UiTM, with partial adoption from Tony DiMauro, San Diego State Univ & from Global Interdependence © 2002 The Galileo Educational Network Association GENA™					
Criteria	Quality & Marks				
	Excellent (6-10)	Proficient (4-5)	Adequate (3)	Fair (1-2)	Weak (0)
Appearance and Dress Code	Very Neatly Attired for the occasion (5)	Neatly dressed for the Occasion (4)	Presentably dressed for the occasion (3)	Acceptable dressed for the occasion (1-2)	Very Inappropriate (0)
Opening Statement and Arguments (10 points)	Engages the audience. Multiple, interesting arguments (statements)	Clearly aware of intended audience. More than one clear, relevant argument (statement)	Aware of intended audience. One clear, relevant argument together with muddled	Rarely takes audience into consideration. Few arguments (statements), marginal relevancy, unclear	Unaware of audience. No arguments, (no opening statement)
Science Content (10 points)	Details are interesting, accurate, and complete. Research evidence demonstrates a thorough understanding. Strong evidence for arguments	Some evidence for arguments. Details are accurate and generally complete. Research evidence demonstrates a good understanding.	Science content for key premise in all arguments. Details are generally accurate and fairly complete. Research evidence demonstrates a general understanding.	Partial science content. Few details. Some evidence of research. Unsatisfactory understanding demonstrated.	Little or no science content detail. Little evidence of research or understanding demonstrated
Presentation Style/Rhetoric (10 points)	Delivery is smooth with excellent and varied intonation, excellent pacing, clear and excellent pronunciation and volume, maintaining eye contact at all times, great humor and flair. Very outstanding speech in all regards	Delivery is generally smooth with varied intonation, good pacing, clear and good pronunciation and volume, maintaining good eye contact, good humor and flair. Good speech in all regards.	Delivery falters on occasion with occasional varied intonation, acceptable pacing, acceptable pronunciation and volume, maintaining occasional eye contact. Acceptable speech in all regards.	Delivery is halting and uneven, monotonous, pace is too fast, pronunciation needs improvement, volume is too soft and only occasional eye contact. Speech requires improvement.	Delivery impedes communication, poorly organized, hesitations, flat, distracting mannerisms
Rebuttal (Closing Statement) (10 points)	devastating rebuttals (conclusions) or new arguments	clear rebuttals to all arguments (statements)	no missed arguments (statements), one clear rebuttal (statement)	some missed arguments (statements), unclear rebuttals (statements)	no counter arguments (statements)
Teamwork (5 points)	seamless presentation, balance of styles (5)	teammates share in full development of arguments (4)	teammates share in some development of arguments (3)	occasional set-up or follow-through for teammates argument (1-2)	no set-up or follow-through for teammates argument (0)